

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,982	03/22/2001	Herbert Ulrich	879.155USWO	1258	
23552 7:	590 03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			DEL SOLE.	DEL SOLE. JOSEPH S	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1722		

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No.

Advisory Action	09/787,982	ULRICH, HERBERT			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ∑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPP Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal c time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a N 37(a).	the Notice of Notice of		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		·	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6-9 and 14-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-22.					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but the reconsideration has been considered by the reconsideration		·			
			ce because.		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	•	· · ———	Sole		
	,	Joseph S Joseph S	iel Sole		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: By deleting all previous claims and adding 7 new claims the Applicant has set for the invention differently suc that new issues exist and further consideration is needed.